

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 LEE ROY J. STANSBERRY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 ALASKA COURT SYSTEM, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C22-1664JLR-SKV


ORDER

15 On December 30, 2022, the court notified *pro se* Plaintiff Lee Roy J. Stansberry
16 that he had failed to timely pay the \$402.00 filing fee or submit an application to proceed
17 *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). (See 12/30/22 OSC (Dkt. # 7); see also Deficiency Notice
18 (Dkt. # 5); Dkt.) Therefore, the court ordered Mr. Stansberry to show cause why his case
19 should not be dismissed. (12/30/22 OSC at 2.) Alternatively, the court stated that Mr.
20 Stansberry could discharge the show cause order by “either pay[ing] the \$402 filing fee
21 or submit[ing] an IFP application” by January 9, 2023. (*Id.*) The court warned Mr.
22

1 Stansberry that his failure to timely respond to the court's show cause order would "result
2 in dismissal of this action without prejudice." (*Id.*)

3 The January 9, 2023 deadline has now passed, and Mr. Stansberry has neither
4 responded to the court's show cause orders nor cured the deficiencies identified therein.
5 (*See generally* Dkt.) Accordingly, the court DISMISSES this action WITHOUT
6 PREJUDICE.

7 Dated this 10th day of January, 2023.

8
9 

10 JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22